

## NATIONAL LAW UNIVERSITY, JODHPUR

NH-65, NAGAUR ROAD, MANDORE, JODHPUR-342 304 (RAJASTHAN) PHONE 0291-2577530, 2577526 FAX 0291-2577540

E-Mail: nlu-jod-rj@nic.in

Web Site: www.nlujodhpur.ac.in

No.: NLU/JODH/2024/IQAC/2/19

## Meeting of IQAC

Date: May 4, 2024

The Meeting of Internal Quality Assurance Cell at National Law University Jodhpur was convened as per the following schedule:

Date: 23 April 2024 Time: 4 pm Venue: Board Room, Halls of University Management, National Law University Jodhpur/Google Meet

## **Members Present:**

Prof. Harpreet Kaur, Vice Chancellor, in the Chair Prof. G.H.S Prasad, Director, NIFT Jodhpur Prof. Anup Surendranath, Professor of Law, NLU Delhi Prof. Chhanda Chakraborti, Professor & Head, IIT Jodhpur Prof. V.K. Unni, Professor, IIM Kolkata Dr. Himanshu Mohan, Associate Professor, University of Lucknow Prof. S.K. Kaushik, Assistant Dean, Faculty of Law Mr. Renjith Thomas, Assistant Professor of Law Dr. Anand Kumar Singh, Assistant Professor of Law Mr. Arunabha Banerjee, Assistant Professor of Law Ms. Sayantani Bagchi, Assistant Professor of Law Dr. Om Prakash, Assistant Professor of HSS Dr. Kranti Kapoor, Assistant Professor of HSS Dr. Neeti Mathur, Assistant Professor of Management Mr. Vinod D, Assistant Librarian Mr. Shohit Chaudhry, Alumni Mr. Bhaskar Agarwal, Alumni Dr. Rashmi Mathur, Assistant Professor of HSS- Coordinator

Serial No.		Deliberation
Agenda Item 1.1		Deliberations and Decision The Vice Chancellor Prof. Harpreet Kaur commenced the meeting with welcome remarks, laying out the road map for the functioning of IQAC and describing how the University was making multiple interventions to increase its regulatory compliance.
rigenda item 1.2	Consideration of Action Taken report for Course	The house perused the action-taken report of the Course Presentations

Agenda Item 1.3	Presentations scheduled from 28 Dec. 2023 to 1 Jan 2024 (Annexure 1)	scheduled between 28 Dec 2023- 1 Jan 2024 wherein all the courses to be offered across semesters from January 2024 were discussed in detail, anomalies and overlaps removed and a clear discourse was built for meaningful inputs by the Curriculum Reform Committee. The external experts opined that: i. Inputs from industry experts could also be sought for course review and their feedback incorporated in the content ii. An initiative could be undertaken for blind peer review of course outline every 3 years iii. The course outline can carry the details of the expert lectures which would be conducted for value addition to the content. It was unanimously decided to incorporate the suggestions and make the Course Presentations a mandatory semester end activity. The house considered the subscription of legal databases for the University library and the report of trial access for 1. Kluwer Competition Law 2. Taxmann (various Modules) 3. Case Mine Based on student feadback from toil
		Based on student feedback from trial access, the house opined that the databases shall enhance the researching abilities of the students which in turn shall lead to better research output and accorded, in principle approval.
Agenda Item 1.4	Recommendation of University Journals for UGC- CARE (Annexure 3)	<ul> <li>The house considered the applications submitted by</li> <li>1. Indian Journal of Arbitration Law (IJAL)</li> <li>2. Constitutional and Administrative Law Journal (CALQ)</li> <li>3. Trade Law &amp; Development (TL&amp;D)</li> </ul>

Agenda Item 1.5Consideration of report by Curriculum Development Committee for implementation from Academic Year 2024-25 onwardsfor enlistment in UGC-CARE. The experts opined that the journal were already renowned in their respective niche areas and afte enlistment in UGC-CARE, efforts could be initiated for Scopus-indexing to improve quality further.Agenda Item 1.5Consideration of report by Curriculum Development Committee for implementation from Academic Year 2024-25The house considered the report of the Curriculum Development Committee established for revamping and upgradation of the existing curriculum of the UG and PG Programme and noted that after consideration of NEP, the BCI recommendations, the report of the Parliamentary Standing Committee on "Strengthening Legal Education in view of Emerging Challenges Before the Legal Profession 2024" and multiple other yardsticks, a new curriculum was proposed for the UG Programme which would enable the students to study between 90-100 subjects comprising of Compulsory Law Papers, Compulsory
Papers, Stream Seminar Papers, Multiple Electives with varying credit scores etc. The Curriculum Development Committee proposed minor changes in the PG programme regarding content and structure. The Curriculum Development Committee also proposed a blueprint for compilation of individual course outlines including Introduction, Course Objectives, Learning Outcomes, Content, required textbooks, reference material, pedagogy, evaluation, tentative class schedule etc. The house placed on record, appreciation for the endeavours of the
Objectives, Learning Outcomes, Content, required textbooks, reference material, pedagogy, evaluation, tentative class schedule etc. The house placed on record, appreciation for the endeavours of the
Agenda Item 1.6 Consideration of the papers could be administered learning.
Agenda Item 1.6Consideration of amended Evaluation Criteria for Continuous Assessments from January 2024 onwards andThe house considered the amended evaluation criteria for Assessments wherein 2 Continuous Assessments along with 1 alternative

Agenda Item 1.7	Methodology for further improvements in Continuous Assessment scheme	
	commencement of 3-year LL.B. and related modalities	plan to introduce a 3-year LL.B. program for graduates with an initial class of 60 students. Various modalities of the entrance test were discussed.
Agenda Item 1.8	Consideration of Teacher Feedback Form for assessing teaching quality	The house considered the new teacher feedback format which was circulated for collecting feedback during Winter Semester 2024. The Chair briefed that the chief purpose of the feedback was to improve teaching quality. The members opined that i. the feedback form was lengthy and could be made more precise ii. both quantitative and qualitative feedback needed to be collected iii. the types of question posed needed to vary since

		answers on the Likert scale tended to branch in the middle and did not give a wholesome picture of the feedback It was resolved to modify the feedback form in the light of inputs received.
Agenda Item 1.9	Consideration of modalities related to admission for PhD programme	The house considered the new NI III
Agenda Item 1.10	Framing of New Programme Learning Outcomes for UG & PG in line with guidelines issued by UGC under NHEQF	The house was briefed about the new Programme Learning Outcomes (PLOs) for the UG Programme which were in keeping with the recommendations of UGC, NHEQF and as mandated by the NEP. Changes in the PLOs were recommended by the members and it was resolved to circulate the same amongst the faculty and receive their
Agenda Item 1.11	Any other matter with the permission of the Chair	inputs before finalization. It was unanimously decided to have meetings of IQAC once every three months to get approval of various academic interventions and upgradations. The meeting ended with thanks to the Chair.

Prof. Harpreet Kaur Vice Chancellor Vice Chancellor & Chair, IQAC

Parhmi

Dr. Rashmi Mathur Coordinator, IQAC